

**National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation**  
**(Technical division)**

**Minutes of Meeting** of Empowered Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (ETEC) to evaluate technical bid for “CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR AUTHORITY’S ENGINEER FOR (i) Construction of (i) 154m span Steen Superstructure Bridge at Km 145.09 over River Barak and (ii) 122 m span Steel Superstructure Bridge at Km 189.80 over River Makru on Jiribam- Barak road on NH-53 in State of Manipur (Pkg-I). (ii) Short Term Improvement & Routine Maintenance of Imphal-Jiribam Road from Km 3.00 to Km 220.00 (Length= 217 Km) of NH-53 (New NH-37) in the state of Manipur on Percentage Rate basis (Pkg-II). (iii) Restoration/Reconstruction of Tamenglong-Khonsang Road (Km 0.00 to Km 39.50) in the State of Manipur(Pkg-III)” at NHIDCL on 16.08.2017, New Delhi-**Technical Evaluation-** reg.

- 1) The RFPs for the subject work were invited on 30.05.2017 with bid due date as **20.07.2017 till 1500 hrs.**
- 2) In all 02 proposals (based on data uploaded on Infracon portal) were received from the following consulting firms. The envelopes containing bid document cost, bid security, power of Attorney etc were opened accordingly thereafter by ETBC in the presence of Bidders representative who choose to attend
  - a) **M/s Indian Technocrat Limited**
  - b) **M/s Highway Engineering Consultant**
- 3) Further the ETBC decided to evaluate the Technical Bids through INFRACON, however it was observed that there is no provision for Stage-I evaluation of standalone bridge criteria as per RFP through INFRACON Portal. Hence the first stage evaluation for ascertaining the Proof of Eligibility was carried out manually in accordance to Clause 11 of SECTION 1: INFORMATION TO CONSULTANTS of RFP (Annexure A) and the details is as under:

Sl. No.	Name of Bidder	Eligibility Status of the Participated Firm
1	M/s Indian Technocrat Limited.	Eligible
2	M/s HIGHWAY ENGINEERING CONSULTANT	Eligible

- 4) The second stage evaluation was carried out through the Infracon evaluation module (Annexure B) and the results obtained from Infracon portal are as under:

Sr. No.	Name of Bidder	Firm's Relevant Experience (Max. points 25)	Qualifications of the Key Staff for the assignment (Max. points 75)	Technical Score	Team leader Score
1	M/s Indian Technocrat Limited.	24.50	24.67	49.17	20

Sr. No.	Name of Bidder	Firm's Relevant Experience (Max. points 25)	Qualifications and Competence of the Key Staff for the assignment (Max. points 75)	Technical Score	Team leader Score
2	M/s Highway Engineering Consultant.	25.00	52.58	77.58	88.67

- 5) The CV of Team leader of M/s Indian Technocrat Limited has scored less than 75 marks, accordingly as per *Clause 3.4 (f) of SECTION 2. LETTER OF INVITATION TO CONSULTANTS* of RFP the bid will be considered Non-responsive if CV of Team leader score less than 75%.
- 6) It was observed that the details filled by the bidders were not adequate on Infracon portal due to which the marks obtained from Infracon are uneven, hence it was decided to carry out the manual evaluation also for comparing the marks as per provisions of RFP (Annexure C). The details of result of manual evaluation is as under:

Sr. No.	Name of Bidder	Firm's Relevant Experience (Max. points 25)	Qualifications and Competence of the Key Staff for the assignment (Max. points 75)	Technical Score	Team leader Score
1	M/s Indian Technocrat Limited.	22.25	50.33	72.58	40
2	M/s Highway Engineering Consultant.	25.00	67.08	92.08	88.67

7) **M/s Indian Technocrat Limited**

- a) Even in the manual evaluation the CV of Team leader of M/s Indian Technocrat Limited has scored less than 75 marks.
- b) The technical score of M/s Indian Technocrat Limited is also less than 75 which doesn't meet the Clause 7 of SECTION 1: INFORMATION TO CONSULTANTS of RFP wherein it is stated that "For a given EPC Project, Stage -1 of the Evaluation shall consider the evaluation of the Technical Proposal (i.e. Part 1).The firms scoring the qualifying marks (minimum 75%) as mentioned in RFP shall only be considered for further evaluation." Hence the committee is of the view that M/s Indian Technocrat Limited is technically non-responsive.



- 8) Keeping in view Para 7 above only one bidder M/s Highway Engineering Consultant is found technically responsive for financial opening. Hence, the ETEC recommends uploading the results on e-portal and re invite the bid if there is no representation after 3 days of uploading the result of the subject work.

*The meeting ended with vote of thanks to the chair.*



Sanjeev Malik  
(ED-III)  
Chairman



Col Rajeev Sood  
(GM-Tech)  
Member Secretary



Adil Singh  
(GM-Tech)  
Member



A.K. Jha  
DGM (Tech)  
Member



U Chatterjee  
DGM (Finance):  
Member

